

## REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

### 1.) Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. § 102 (b)

Claims 1 - 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Epstein (U.S. Patent 6,023,510). Applicant respectfully disagrees.

Epstein discloses a method for secure anonymous querying by a user of an information provider by electronic mail and for obtaining a reply uses a public key of the provider to form an electronic encrypted query package containing information including a query, a generated random number sequence, a hash of the query, a generated public key of the user, and an identification of a public bulletin board. The query package is preferably sent to the provider via a network from a public terminal. At the information provider the query package is received and decrypted. If the result of hashing the decrypted query is equal to the decrypted hash, a response R is formulated. A response package is formed therefrom by using a generated symmetric key of the information provider and the public key of the user. The response package is posted to the public bulletin board along with the random number sequence.; The public bulletin board is accessed by the user in an anonymous manner and the response package, which is identified by the random number sequence, is downloaded and decrypted to obtain response R. (Epstein, Abstract)

The Examiner's attention is directed to the fact that the combination of Epstein fails to teach, disclose, or suggest "creating an access granting ticket comprising...a principal identifier representing the principal towards the data providing entity", as recited by Applicant's claims. The Examiner cannot properly read the contents of the query package of Epstein on the principle identifier of Applicant's claims.

Epstein discloses a method for secure querying by a user of an information provider to obtain health information. (See Epstein; col. 1, line 63 – col. 2, line 8) Epstein teaches that its "query package" is sent to the provider via a network in such a manner that the user is not identifiable to the provider. (See Epstein; col. 2, lines 25-26) Epstein is very clear that "the user formulates a query Q, in which information...from which identity might be ascertained has been redacted." (See Epstein; col. 5, lines 9-

14) The Query of Epstein does include an identification, however, this identification is of a public bulletin board not the user. In view of the above, it is clear that Epstein teaches away from "creating an access granting ticket comprising...a principal identifier representing the principal towards the data providing entity." Thus, Applicant respectfully submits that for at least the above reason, the present claims are not anticipated by Epstein.

In view of the above arguments, Applicant respectfully asserts that independent claims 1, 12, 19, 22, and 28-30 are patentable over Epstein. Claims 2-11, 13-18, 20, 21, and 23-27 are patentable at least by virtue of depending from their respective base claim.

Appl. No. 10/551,855  
Amendment Dated November 23, 2009  
Reply to Office Action of January 9, 2009  
Attorney Docket No. P16731 US1  
EUS/GJP/09-7752

**CONCLUSION**

In view of the foregoing remarks, the Applicant believes all of the claims currently pending in the Application to be in a condition for allowance. The Applicant, therefore, respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw all rejections and issue a Notice of Allowance for all pending claims.

The Applicant requests a telephonic interview if the Examiner has any questions or requires any additional information that would further or expedite the prosecution of the Application.

Respectfully submitted,



Thomas Bethea, Jr.  
Registration No. 53,987

Date: November 23, 2009

Ericsson Inc.  
6300 Legacy Drive, M/S EVR 1-C-11  
Plano, Texas 75024

(972) 583-4859  
thomas.bethea.jr@ericsson.com